

November 18, 2002

Ms. Lee A. Shapleigh Assistant County Attorney El Paso County 500 East San Antonio, Room 203 El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2002-6566

Dear Ms. Shapleigh:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 172331.

The El Paso County Sheriff (the "sheriff") received a request for information relating to the injury of a named jail inmate. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We begin by noting that the some of submitted information is made expressly public under section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides, in relevant part:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
  - (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Some of the submitted information is expressly public under section 552.022(a)(1). Therefore, you may only withhold this information from the requestor if it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 or is confidential under other law. You do not argue that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. Although you

argue that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code, section 552.103 is a discretionary exception and therefore is not "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. Accordingly, some of the submitted documents, which we have marked, must be released to the requestor.

We turn now to your arguments for the remainder of the submitted information. You claim that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the government Code. Section 552.103 provides in relevant part as follows:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.
- (c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

The sheriff has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.--Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ refd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The sheriff must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

You inform us that the sheriff is a codefendant in a case currently pending in district court. You explain that the central issue in the litigation relates to an automatic jail door. You have submitted a copy of the original petition in this case for our review. Based on your

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (governmental body may waive litigation exception, section 552.103), 630 at 4 (1994) (governmental body may waive attorney-client privilege, section 552.107(1)), 592 at 8 (1991) (governmental body may waive section 552.104, information relating to competition or bidding), 549 at 6 (1990) (governmental body may waive informer's privilege), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Discretionary exceptions therefore do not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.

representations and our review of the submitted petition, we conclude that the sheriff has demonstrated that litigation was pending at the time the request was received. We also find that the sheriff has demonstrated that the submitted information is related to the pending litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, the sheriff may withhold the submitted information under section 552.103.

We note that if the opposing party in the litigation has seen or had access to any of the information in these records, there is no section 552.103(a) interest in withholding that information from the requestor. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). We also note that the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW- 575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). We have marked a document that has been seen by the opposing party, and therefore, may not be withheld from disclosure under section 552.103. We have also marked documents that must be released to the requestor in accordance with section 552.022(a)(1). The city may withhold the remaining information from disclosure based on section 552.103.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

V6801

V.G. Schimmel Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

VGS/sdk

Ref: ID# 172331

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Vicki Brown
The Littleton Group
5959 Gateway West Boulevard, #540
El Paso, Texas 79925
(w/o enclosures)